

IFM



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: KALLNER=1

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 2142
)	
Samuel KALLNER et al.)	Examiner: Benjamin A. AILES
)	
Appln. No.: 10/053,872)	Washington, D.C.
)	
Date Filed: January 24, 2002)	Confirmation No.: 5341
)	
For: COMMUNICATION ENDPOINT)	October 20, 2006
SUPPORTING MULTIPLE PROVIDER)	
MODELS)	

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This is in response to the requirement in the Examiner's September 27, 2006, and October 13, 2006, Interview Summary to provide a statement of the substance of the September 20, 2006 and October 11, 2006 interviews covered in those summaries.

In the September 20, 2006 interview, Applicant's attorney submitted that the Examiner's final Office Action was incorrect in declaring that Applicant's Rule 131 Affidavit was ineffective. The Examiner did not agree. Applicant's attorney agreed to file a response to the Examiner's July 21,

2006, final Office Action which was submitted on September 28, 2006.

The October 11, 2006, interview involved only an Examiner-initiated telephone call on October 11, 2006, notifying Norman Latker that the finality of the July 21, 2006, Office Action has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's September 28, 2006 response, and a new action will be prepared and mailed.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By



Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\C\colb\kallner1\pto\2006-10-20 Interview Substance.doc